Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/552,204	JOHNSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT	1619
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Final rejection</u>		
(1) <u>JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>ALAN ZOMBECK</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 November 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 103 rejection		
Claims discussed: 8-9		
Prior art documents discussed: JP 2002-308991 ('991)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/JYOTHSNA A VENKAT / Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1619 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed the attorney that the product by process claims are obvious over JP '991 reference cited in copending application 10/306,012 and application is in condition for allowance subjecting to cancellation of claims 8-9 and claims 1-7 are allowed since JP '331 does not teach or suggest the method of claims 1-7 using a cross linking agent. Attorney authorized the examiner to cancel claims 8-9 by examiners amendment.